	Case 1:24-cv-01301-HBK Document 1	.3 Filed 03/07/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BOBBY AYERS,	Case No. 1:24-cv	-01301-HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		NG IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
13	V.		TENSION OF TIME TO RDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL		
15	INSTITUTE, Defendant.		
16	Detendant.		
17		I	
18	On December 23, 2024, the undersigned ordered Plaintiff to show cause why this case		
19	should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust his administrative remedies and advised Plaintiff of		
20	the January 21, 2025 deadline to mail his response. (Doc. No. 10). On March 6, 2025, Plaintiff		
21	filed a motion for a 90-day extension of time to file his response. (Doc. No. 12). Plaintiff states		
22	that the extension is necessary due to issues with accessing the prison's law library. (<i>Id.</i>). The		
23	docket indicates that Plaintiff was transferred to a new facility on or around January 21, 2025.		
24	(Doc. No. 11).		
25	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) provides for extending deadlines for good cause		
26	shown, if the request to extend time is made before the existing deadline. Fed. R. Civ. P.		
27	6(b)(1)(A). If made after the time has expired, a party must also show excusable neglect. Fed. R.		
28	Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B). Here the Motion was made after the time expired and it does not explain why		

1 he did not move before the time expired. The Court will presume that Plaintiff's transfer 2 constitutes excusable neglect. The Court further finds Plaintiff's pro se and incarcerated status 3 constitutes good cause exists to grant Plaintiff an extension of time. The Court, however, finds a 4 90-day extension excessive, but will grant a more limited 45-day extension.¹ 5 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: 1. Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (Doc. No. 12) is GRANTED IN PART. 6 7 2. Plaintiff's response to the Order to Show Cause Why Case Should Not Be Dismissed 8 for Failure to Exhaust and Second Screening Order, dated December 23, 2024, shall 9 be delivered to correctional officers for mailing no later than April 21, 2025. 3. Absent further leave of the Court, Plaintiff's failure to timely comply with this Order 10 11 and to file his response to the December 23, 2024 order to show cause within this 12 specified time will result in the recommendation that this action be dismissed, either as 13 a sanction for failure to comply with a court order or for failure to prosecute this action consistent with Local Rule 110. 14 15 16 Dated: March 7, 2025 17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 ¹ Inmates who have established court deadlines may apply for PLU status. Cal. Code of Regs. tit. 27 15, 3122(b). Inmates who are granted PLU status based on their application shall receive higher

Document 13

Filed 03/07/25

Page 2 of 2

Case 1:24-cv-01301-HBK

28

priority to prison law library resources than other inmates. *Id.*